

Nuclear Energy Information Service

Illinois' Nuclear Power Watchdog since 1981

Office and Mail: 3411 W. Diversey Avenue, #16, Chicago, IL 60647-1245 (773)342-7650 www.neis.org neis@neis.org

ILLINOIS NUCLEAR ISSUES April 21, 2016

I. Our positions:

- 1. EXELON is not a co-negotiating partner they are an *OBSTRUCTIONIST ENTITY*
 - They *sabotaged the RPS fix repeatedly* the past 4 years
 - They *established/supported anti-renewable energy front groups*, whose agenda is to thwart RE and bailout out uneconomic reactors
 - They *interfered with and blocked a promising negotiation* between the Clean Jobs Coalition and ComEd, something desired by legislators esp Leadership; with local jobs implications for legislators, esp the Black and Latino Caucuses
 - Their merger with PEPCo was rejected several times by the D.C. PSC and local officials largely because Exelon was perceived as *inherently and fundamentally anti-renewable* energy
- 2. Nuclear is NOT clean or green
 - No nuclear plant ever built was built with *the intention* of reducing carbon inputs into the atmosphere; therefore, this "benefit" is an accident of timing, not utility intentionality deserving reward or compensation, coming long after the fact.
 - By logical extension, if we are to reward energy resources after the fact for perceived societal benefits, we must also reward EE/RE for the long term societal benefits of not producing costly and hazardous radioactive waste; more contamination from uranium mines during operation and after closure; and for not contributing to increased national security threat from nuclear terrorism and proliferation of materials, expertise, technology and weapons.
- 3. Too many nuclear liabilities need to be fixed before bailing out old reactors:
 - Establishment of a "just-transitions" program for all reactor communities, to plan for the inevitable closure of the reactors by any cause license expiration, NRC mandate, nuclear disasters. Exelon decision to close them.
 - State statutes must be amended, appended and strengthened to deal with deficiencies in decommissioning regulations now that Exelon is threatening reactor closures.
- 4. Fixing the RPS *first* lessens the impact of reactor closure for any reason; is a greater job producer; and does not trade more plutonium for less carbon in dealing with the climate carbon targets.
- 5. What are and have been the negative impacts on Illinois *caused by Exelon's obstruction of fixing the RPS* for the past 4-5 years? We need the Legislature to study this effect, just like HR1146 studied the negative effects of reactor closures.
- II. Detailed discussion is needed about:
 - A. Exelon itself as a utility
 - B. the Exelon anachronistic "business model"
 - C. "just transitions" for reactor communities dealing with inevitable reactor closures
 - D. needed changes in State decommissioning laws and statutes